RESEARCH ARTICLE

Could neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio be an adjuvant marker for cognitive impairment in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus?

Nalini Vivek Mallya¹, Sreedhar Palakal², Dayananda G¹

¹Department of Physiology, MVJ Medical College and Research Hospital, Hoskote, Karnataka, India, ²MBBS Student, MVJ Medical College and Research Hospital, Hoskote, Karnataka, India

Correspondence to: Nalini Vivek Mallya, E-mail: nalinimallya@gmail.com

Received: November 15, 2019; Accepted: December 21, 2019

ABSTRACT

Background: Diabetes mellitus is a global health concern as many people are getting diagnosed in the early stages of its development. The advancements in the treatment of diabetes have increased life span and prevented complications. However, early detection of cognitive impairment and vascular dementia still poses a great challenge as these conditions are conventionally not addressed as regularly as nephropathy, peripheral neuropathy, and retinopathy. As indicators of various degrees of systemic inflammation, the neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) has been found to be closely related to cardiovascular diseases and diabetic microvascular concurrent diseases. Aims and Objectives: The objectives of this study were as follows: (1) To assess the prevalence of cognitive impairment in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) at a tertiary care center and (2) to study the association between NLR and cognitive impairment in T2DM. Materials and Methods: A case-control design was used in the study. Thirty cases and 30 controls satisfying the inclusion and exclusion criteria were recruited after obtaining informed written consent. Semi-structured, pretested questionnaires consisting of sociodemographic details of the study population, questions based on health profile and treatment were administered. Cognitive function was assessed using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) questionnaire. The NLRs were analyzed from blood investigations. Data were analyzed using MS Excel, SPSS version 17. The study was undertaken after obtaining clearance from the Institutional Ethical Committee. Results: Majority of the cases (15) were in the age group of 56-70 years and controls (15) were in the age group of 40-55 years. Majority of the cases (18) were in the age group of 40-55 years when diagnosed with T2DM. Most of the cases (29) were diagnosed with T2DM for the duration of 10–20 years. The NLR was <3.5 in 24 cases and 27 controls and >3.5 in six cases and three controls. About 30% (9) of cases showed mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and 23% (7) showed severe cognitive impairment. NLR was 2.79 ± 1.5 (mean \pm standard deviation [SD]) and 1.85 \pm 0.8 (mean \pm SD) in cases and controls, respectively. NLR was significantly increased among diabetics (P = 0.004). There was no statistically significant association between NLR and cognitive impairment in diabetics (P > 0.05). Conclusion: The present study showed 30% prevalence of MCI as per MMSE scores in the first 20 years of diagnosis with T2DM. NLR was significantly elevated in T2DM patients. There was no statistically significant association between NLR and cognitive impairment in T2DM.

KEY WORDS: Cognitive Impairment; Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio; Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

Access this article online				
Website: www.njppp.com	Quick Response code			
DOI: 10.5455/njppp.2020.10.11365201921122019				

INTRODUCTION

The increasing prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a global health concern considering diabetes can affect various organ systems. The risks of vascular dementia and Alzheimer's disease have been found to be 2-fold in patients

National Journal of Physiology, Pharmacy and Pharmacology Online 2020. © 2020 Nalini Vivek Mallya, *et al.* This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creative commons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially, provided the original work is properly cited and states its license.

with T2DM.^[1] Furthermore, mild cognitive impairment (MCI) which precedes dementia and affects more people (6% of the population^[2]) often goes underdiagnosed. MCI is defined as an objective cognitive impairment relative to the person's age, considering the cognitive symptoms, in a person with mainly normal functional activities who does not have dementia.^[3] Diabetes has been identified as a key risk factor for dementia and MCI.^[4,5] It is, therefore, important to understand the association between cognitive impairment and diabetes and the risk factors that might predict progression to dementia in diabetic patients to mitigate these risks.^[6]

Studies in the past have shown the role and importance of inflammatory molecules (e.g., adipokines, chemokines, and cytokines) and endothelial dysfunction in the development of T2DM and its complications.^[7,8] The total leukocyte count and the differential leukocyte count are among the easily available and inexpensive classic inflammatory markers.^[9] However, establishing a diagnosis by taking individual white blood cells into account has its own biases, unlike the neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), which is a dynamic parameter that has a higher prognostic value.^[10] The role of neutrophils and lymphocytes has been established in many studies as independent markers of many diseases, especially complications of T2DM such as diabetic nephropathy.^[11,12]

NLR is a newly established marker of chronic inflammation that exhibits a balance of two complementary components of the immune system; neutrophils (that are the active nonspecific inflammatory mediators) and form the first line of defense, whereas lymphocytes are the regulatory or protective component of inflammation.^[13] NLR has been associated with severity of metabolic syndrome.^[14] As indicators of various degrees of inflammation, the NLR have been found to be closely related to cardiovascular diseases and diabetic microvascular complications.^[15-17] The studies focusing on the association between NLR and cognitive impairment in T2DM are limited. Our study was undertaken to explore the role of NLR as an adjuvant marker of cognitive impairment in patients with T2DM.

Aims and Objectives

The objectives of this study were as follows:

- To assess the prevalence of cognitive impairment in patients with T2DM at a tertiary care center
- To study the association between NLR and cognitive impairment in T2DM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Study Setting

This was a case–control study design at a tertiary care teaching hospital in a rural set-up.

Study Population

Patients >40 years of age diagnosed with T2DM visiting the hospital and their age-matched controls.

Study Tools

Semi-structured, pretested questionnaires were developed and administered to the study groups after obtaining informed consent. The questionnaire consisted of sociodemographic details of the study population, questions based on health profile and treatment. Cognitive status was assessed using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) questionnaire.^[18] NLRs were estimated from blood investigations obtained from consenting cases and controls.

Inclusion Criteria

The following criteria were included in the study:

- Patients diagnosed with T2DM consenting to be a part of the study
- Age group: > 40 years
- Duration of T2DM: >10 years.

Age-matched healthy controls consenting to be a part of the study.

Exclusion Criteria

The following criteria were excluded from the study:

- Non-consenting individuals
- Non-diabetic
- Pregnant women
- Patients presenting with acute infections
- Patients with a history of neurological conditions, psychiatric disorders, and drug abuse
- Patients with a history of thyroid abnormalities.

Study Duration

The study duration was from July 2019 to August 2019, 2 months.

Sample Size

Based on the previous studies and the present study being a time-bound one, the sample size was calculated as n=30 (cases) and n=30 (controls).

Statistical Analysis

Data were entered into MS Excel, analyzed using SPSS version 17. The data were expressed in frequencies and Pearson's Chi-square test and *t*-test were used to test statistical association.

Ethical Clearance

The study was undertaken after obtaining clearance from the Institutional Ethical Committee.

RESULTS

Majority of the cases (15) were in the age group of 56–70 years and controls (15) were in the age group of 40–55 years. There were 22 males and 8 females among the cases and 30 males among controls. Majority of the cases were educated up to primary school. Majority of the study population belonged to rural set-up (54) [Table 1].

Majority of the cases (18) were in the age group of 40-50 years when diagnosed with T2DM. Most of the cases (29) were diagnosed with T2DM for the duration of 10-20 years. Among the cases and controls, 9 and 12 subjects had a family history of T2DM. Very few (1 and 6) had a family history of dementia, respectively. Seventeen of cases and 24 of controls followed a mixed diet. Twenty-one of cases and 30 of controls reported adequate sleep (7–8 h a day). Very few subjects were sedentary. Fifteen of cases and 15 of controls reported regular exercise (20 min of brisk walk at least 3 times a week). Eighteen of cases were on insulin and the remaining 12 on oral hypoglycemic drugs. Majority of the cases and controls were non-smokers and non-alcoholics. NLR was <3.5 in 24 cases and 27 controls and >3.5 in six (20%) cases and 3 (10%)

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of the study					
Variables	Group with T2DM (<i>n</i> =30)	n Group without T2DM (<i>n</i> =30)	Total		
Age (years)					
40–55	12	15	27		
56-70	15	6	21		
>70	3	9	12		
Gender					
Male	22	30	52		
Female	8	0	8		
Education					
Primary (up to 7 th grade)	12	3	15		
Secondary (up to 10 th grade)	5	12	17		
PUC	4	6	10		
College	9	9	18		
Marital status					
Single	30	30	60		
Married	30	30	60		
Residence					
Urban	6	0	6		
Rural	24	30	54		

T2DM: Type 2 diabetes mellitus

NLR was 2.79 ± 1.54 (mean \pm SD) and 1.85 ± 0.82 (mean \pm SD) in cases and controls, respectively. Student's *t*-test showed a statistically significant difference between cases and controls in NLR (P = 0.004). There was MCI in both the groups as shown by the MMSE scores, 22.03 ± 4.8 (mean \pm SD) and 22.2 ± 4.3 (mean \pm SD) in cases and controls, respectively, but no statistically significant difference was found between the two [Table 2].

There was no statistically significant association between neutrophil-lymphocyte ratios and cognitive function in both cases and controls (P > 0.05) [Table 3].

DISCUSSION

The present study was aimed at exploring the association between NLR and cognitive impairment in patients with T2DM. The prevalence of MCI was 30% and severe cognitive impairment was 23% among patients with T2DM. Majority of the diabetics in our study were between 56 and 70 years of age. In certain other studies, the mean age of the diabetic patients was almost 60 years.^[19,20] Therefore, an age-related cognitive decline which is reported to start after 60 years of age might have contributed to the increased prevalence of cognitive impairment in patients with T2DM in our study.

The NLR varied from 1.25 to 4.33. Among diabetics, 20% (6) showed an increase in NLR (>3.5). There was also a significant increase in NLR among diabetics (cases) when compared with non-diabetics (controls). This finding is consistent with many studies which have shown that NLR was increased in patients with diabetes and it has been linked to poor glycemic control, insulin resistance, and cardiovascular events.^[21-23] In our study, we did not find any statistical association between NLR and cognitive impairment. This finding is similar to that conducted by Sasirekha et al.,^[24] in which no significant correlation was observed between NLR and cognitive dysfunction in T2DM patients. However, a study conducted by Halazun et al.[25] concluded that NLR >5 and diabetes mellitus were significantly associated with increased odds of cognitive dysfunction. A similar finding was observed in a study conducted by Shiny et al., in which they concluded that NLR can be used as an adjuvant prognostic marker for macro- and microvascular complications in patients with glucose intolerance.^[26] Mazza et al., in their meta-analysis, supported the hypothesis that an inflammatory activation, as reflected by elevated NLR, occurs in non-affective psychosis. In another study, NLR has been shown to be significantly elevated in patients with increased albuminuria, indicating a relationship between inflammation and endothelial dysfunction in diabetics

	Table 2: Study parameters between cases and controls			
Study parameters	Group with T2DM (<i>n</i> =30)	Group without T2DM (<i>n</i> =30)	Total	<i>P</i> -value
Age at diagnosis of DM (in years)		* * /		
40–50	18	NA*	18	
50-60	6	NA*	6	
>60	6	NA*	6	
Duration of DM (years)				
10–20	29	NA*	29	
21–30	1	NA*	1	
Family history of DM				
Yes	9	12	21	
No	21	18	39	
Family history of dementia				
Yes	1	6	7	
No	29	24	53	
Diet				
Veg	9	0	9	
Non-veg	4	6	10	
Mixed	17	24	41	
Sleep				
Poor	7	0	7	
Adequate	21	30	51	
Excessive	2	0	2	
Physical activity				
Sedentary	0	3	3	
Regular exercise	15	15	30	
Irregular exercise	15	12	27	
Anti-DM medication				
Insulin	18	NA*	18	
Oral hypoglycemic drugs	12	NA*	12	
Smoking				
Current	1	9	10	
Former	13	9	22	
Never	16	12	28	
Alcohol consumption				
Current	2	6	8	
Former	9	12	21	
Never	19	12	31	
N:L ratio				
<3.5	24	27	51	
>3.5	6	3	9	
N:L (mean±SD)	2.79±1.54	$1.85{\pm}0.82$		0.004#
Cognitive status				
No cognitive impairment	14	14	28	
MCI	9	12	21	
Severe cognitive impairment	7	4	11	
MMSE score (mean±SD)	22.03±4.80	22.2±4.35		0.8

*NA: Not applicable, #P<0.05 statistically significant. SD: Standard deviation, MMSE: Mini-Mental State Examination, T2DM: Type 2 diabetes mellitus, MCI: Mild cognitive impairment

Table 3: Association between NLR and cognitive impairment						
N:L ratio	No cognitive impairment	MCI	Severe cognitive impairment	<i>P</i> -value		
Cases (with T2DM)						
<3.5	12	11	4	0.39		
>3.5	2	1	0			
Controls (without T2DM)						
<3.5	12	8	4	0.18		
>3.5	2	1	3			

Pearson's Chi-square test, $*P \le 0.05$ =SignificantT2DM: Type 2 diabetes mellitus, NLR: Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio, T2DM: Type 2 diabetes mellitus, MCI: Mild cognitive impairment

with nephropathy.^[27] The present study included type 2 diabetic patients who were on medication (mainly insulin) and were regular to the hospital for follow-up and revision of medications to prevent complications. They were also advised on lifestyle modification and around 50% of the cases reported regular physical activity. These factors might have contributed to the non-significant association between NLR and cognitive impairment.

The duration of diabetes mellitus was lesser, i.e., 10–20 years in the present study compared with other studies of cognitive decline, wherein the duration of diabetes mellitus was more than 20 years.^[28] The present study with patients of relatively lesser duration of T2DM has tried to explore the role of NLR as an early adjuvant marker of cognitive impairment.

In future, prospective studies can be undertaken by including patients in different strata of age distribution, the newly diagnosed and chronic diabetics, and patients with and without good glycemic control for a better understanding of the relationship between NLR and cognitive impairment in T2DM.

CONCLUSION

The present study showed30% prevalence of MCI as per MMSE scores in the first 20 years of diagnosis with T2DM. NLR was significantly elevated in T2DM patients. There was no statistically significant association between NLR and cognitive impairment in T2DM. Nevertheless, the potential of NLR as an adjuvant marker of cognitive impairment in T2DM cannot be ruled out. Early diagnosis, regular follow-up, and lifestyle modification can go a long way in preventing cognitive impairment due to T2DM.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) for funding the project, Mr. Suresha D S, Statistician, Department of Community Medicine, Dr. Pramodh V, Professor of Physiology, and Ms. Srishukta, MBBS student, MVJ Medical College and Research Hospital, Bengaluru, for their contribution and support.

REFERENCES

- 1. Biessels GJ, Staekenborg S, Brunner E, Brayne C, Scheltens P. Risk of dementia in diabetes mellitus: A systematic review. Lancet Neurol 2006;5:64-74.
- Sachdev PS, Lipnicki DM, Kochan NA, Crawford JD, Thalamuthu A, Andrews G, *et al.* The prevalence of mild cognitive impairment in diverse geographical and ethnocultural regions: The COSMIC collaboration. PLoS One 2015;10:e0142388.
- Cooper C, Sommerlad A, Lyketsos CG, Livingston G. Modifiable predictors of dementia in mild cognitive impairment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Psychiatry 2015;172:323-34.
- 4. Livingston G, Sommerlad A, Orgeta V, Costafreda SG, Huntley J, Ames D, *et al.* Dementia prevention intervention and care. Lancet 2013;13:63-6.
- Davis WA, Zilkens RR, Starkstein SE, Davis TM, Bruce DG. Dementia onset, incidence and risk in Type 2 diabetes: A matched cohort study with the Fremantle diabetes study phase I. Diabetologia 2017;60:89-97.
- Biessels GJ, Strachan MW, Visseren FL, Kappelle LJ, Whitmer RA. Dementia and cognitive decline in Type 2 diabetes and prediabetic stages: Towards targeted interventions. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2014;2:246-55.
- Goldberg RB. Cytokine and cytokine-like inflammation markers, endothelial dysfunction, and imbalanced coagulation in development of diabetes and its complications. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009;94:3171-82.
- 8. Fujita T, Hemmi S, Kajiwara M, Yabuki M, Fuke Y, Satomura A, *et al.* Complement-mediated chronic inflammation is associated with diabetic microvascular complication. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2013;29:220-6.
- 9. Torun S, Tunc BD, Suvak B, Yildiz H, Tas A, Sayilir A, *et al.* Assessment of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in ulcerative colitis: A promising marker in predicting disease severity. Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol 2012;36:491-7.
- Bhutta H, Agha R, Wong J, Tang TY, Wilson YG, Walsh SR. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio predicts medium-term survival following elective major vascular surgery: A cross-sectional study. Vasc Endovascular Surg 2011;45:227-31.
- 11. Núñez J, Núñez E, Bodí V, Sanchis J, Miñana G, Mainar L, *et al.* Usefulness of the neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in predicting long-term mortality in ST segment elevation myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 2008;101:747-52.
- 12. Azab B, Jaglall N, Atallah JP, Lamet A, Raja-Surya V, Farah B, *et al.* Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio as a predictor of adverse outcomes of acute pancreatitis. Pancreatology 2011;11:445-52.
- McIntyre NJ, Taal MW. How to measure proteinuria? Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 2008;17:600-3.
- 14. Buyukkaya E, Karakas MF, Karakas E, Akçay AB, Tanboga IH, Kurt M, *et al.* Correlation of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio with the presence and severity of metabolic syndrome. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost 2014;20:159-63.
- 15. Öztürk ZA, Kuyumcu ME, Yesil Y, Savas E, Yıldız H, Kepekçi Y, *et al.* Is there a link between neutrophil-lymphocyte

ratio and microvascular complications in geriatric diabetic patients? J Endocrinol Invest 2013;36:593-9.

- Huang W, Huang J, Liu Q, Lin F, He Z, Zeng Z, *et al.* Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio is a reliable predictive marker for early-stage diabetic nephropathy. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2015;82:229-33.
- 17. Ulu SM, Dogan M, Ahsen A, Altug A, Demir K, Acartürk G, *et al.* Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as a quick and reliable predictive marker to diagnose the severity of diabetic retinopathy. Diabetes Technol Ther 2013;15:942-7.
- Pangman VC, Sloan J, Guse L. An examination of psychometric properties of the mini-mental state examination and the standardized mini-mental state examination: Implications for clinical practice. Appl Nurs Res 2000;13:209-13.
- Shiny A, Bibin YS, Shanthirani CS, Regin BS, Anjana RM, Balasubramanyam M, *et al.* Association of neutrophillymphocyte ratio with glucose intolerance: An indicator of systemic inflammation in patients with Type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Technol Ther 2014;16:524-30.
- 20. Salthouse TA. When does age-related cognitive decline begin? Neurobiol Aging 2009;30:507-14.
- 21. Sefil F, Ulutas KT, Dokuyucu R, Sumbul AT, Yengil E, Yagiz AE, *et al.* Investigation of neutrophil lymphocyte ratio and blood glucose regulation in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Int Med Res 2014;42:581-8.
- 22. Guo X, Zhang S, Zhang Q, Liu L, Wu H, Du H, et al. Neutrophil: Lymphocyte ratio is positively related to Type 2 diabetes in a large-scale adult population: A tianjin chronic low-grade systemic inflammation and health cohort study. Eur J Endocrinol 2015;173:217-25.
- 23. Lou M, Luo P, Tang R, Peng Y, Yu S, Huang W, et al.

Relationship between neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and insulin resistance in newly diagnosed Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. BMC Endocr Disord 2015;15:9.

- 24. Sasirekha G, Celine D, Umayal CC, Viji D, Indumathi M, Subathra S, *et al.* Association between neutrophil lymphocyte ratio and cognitive function in Type II diabetes mellitus. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol 2018;62:74-9.
- 25. Halazun HJ, Mergeche JL, Mallon KA, Connolly ES, Heyer EJ. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio as a predictor of cognitive dysfunction in carotid endarterectomy patients. J Vasc Surg 2014;59:768-73.
- 26. Mazza MG, Lucchi S, Rossetti A, Clerici M. Neutrophillymphocyte ratio, monocyte-lymphocyte ratio and plateletlymphocyte ratio in non-affective psychosis: A meta-analysis and systematic review. World J Biol Psychiatry 2019;1:1-13.
- 27. Akbas EM, Demirtas L, Ozcicek A, Timuroglu A, Bakirci EM, Hamur H, *et al.* Association of epicardial adipose tissue, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio with diabetic nephropathy. Int J Clin Exp Med 2014;7:1794-801.
- Rawlings AM, Sharrett AR, Schneider AL, Coresh J, Albert M, Couper D, *et al.* Diabetes in midlife and cognitive change over 20 years: A cohort study. Ann Intern Med 2014;161:785-93.

How to cite this article: Mallya NV, Palakal S, Dayananda G. Could neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio be an adjuvant marker for cognitive impairment in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus? Natl J Physiol Pharm Pharmacol 2020;10(04):324-329.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflicts of Interest: None declared.